

**Institutional Faculty Research Office  
De La Salle – Dasmariñas  
Dasmariñas, Cavite**

**BASIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH OUTPUT EVALUATION FORM**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Please place the letter that corresponds to your rating in the space provided before each number. The ratings are as follows:

**E** - Excellent      **G** - Good      **F** - Fair      **P** - Poor      **NA** - Not Applicable

**Introduction**

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1. The background and rationale for the study are discussed.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2. The review of literature demonstrates an overall theme/topic and does not only enumerate existing literature. It also links the literature to the present study.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. The review of literature provides a critical evaluation of related studies.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4. The research objectives are specific and clearly identified.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 5. The research problem is stated in such a way that it can be addressed empirically and/or theoretically.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 6. The introduction discusses the conceptual and/or theoretical framework clearly. It specifies the expected relationships of the variables/factors and indicates rationale for the hypothesized relationships.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 7. The introduction identifies the specific contributions of the study in terms of research, disciplinary advancement, etc.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 8. Limitations/weaknesses which have bearing in the generalizations and implications of findings are explicitly stated and discussed thoroughly.

**Research Methodology**

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1. The research design being used and the underlying reasons for its utilization are adequately described.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2. The research design is appropriately used/applied.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. The population from which the sample was drawn, the number of subjects, and their general characteristics are adequately discussed.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4. The sampling procedure is appropriate and is adequately discussed.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 5. Validity and reliability of the instruments are appropriately addressed.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 6. Qualitative and/or statistical tools used in the analysis are specifically discussed and described.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 7. Qualitative and/or statistical tools used in the analysis are appropriately used in the data analysis.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 8. Overall research methodology is clearly described such that any future replication may be done.

**Results and Discussion**

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1. The discussion in the text and the data shown in tables are consistent.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2. Results are presented in an acceptable/appropriate table format.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. Findings are reported on the basis of specific observations/data.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4. The researcher's interpretations of results deal with the research problem.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 5. The research findings are linked and contrasted with the findings of the past studies and existing literature.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 6. The findings are discussed within the defined theoretical/conceptual framework.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 7. Implications of the results both theoretical and policy are discussed adequately.

**Conclusions and Recommendations**

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1. The findings are adequate to support the generalizations.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2. Generalizations arrived at take cognizance of the scope and limitations of the study.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. Conclusions of the study are clearly specified and discussed.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4. Recommendations for policy formulation are identified.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 5. Recommendations logically flow from the results and conclusions of the study.

**Overall Presentations**

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1. The thesis ideas and observations are presented in logical and coherent manner.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2. The research report is comprehensible.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. The report contains the necessary part/elements of a research report.

**General Comments**

---

---

---

---

**Action Required/Needed**

---

---

---

---

**Recommendation**

- Approved without revision
- Approved with minor revision(s)  
Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Approved with major revision(s)  
Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- NOT Approved  
Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Submission: \_\_\_\_\_